

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	Plaintiff,
v.	
Pedro Ba	AEK Defendant

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Case No.: 50 //-002.78

ORDER OF PRETRIAL DETENTION (18 U.S.C. §§ 3142(e), (i))

I.

- A. () On motion of the Government in a case that involves:
 - 1. () a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed.
 - 2. () an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
 - 3. () an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act, the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act, or the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act.

1	111.		
2	The Court has considered:		
3	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged;		
4	B. the weight of the evidence against defendant;		
5	C. the history and characteristics of defendant; and		
6	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community		
7	that would be posed by defendant's release.		
8	IV.		
9	The Court has considered all the evidence proffered and presented at the		
10	hearing, the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services		
11	Report and recommendation.		
12	V.		
13	The Court concludes:		
14	A. (X) Defendant poses a serious flight risk based on:		
15	information in Pretrial Services Report and Recommendation		
16	(V) other: (omplant		
17			
18			
19	B. (X) Defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons and the		
20	community based on:		
21	information in Pretrial Services Report and Recommendation		
22	(X) other: Conplant		
23			
24			
25	C. () A serious risk exists that defendant will:		
26	1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice,		
27	2. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a witness/juror, or attempt to do so,		
28			
- 11			

	II	
1	based on:	
2		
3		
4		
5	D. () Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contra	ry the
6	presumption provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) that no condition	
7	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance	ce of
8	defendant as required.	
9	E. () Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrar	ry the
10	presumption provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) that no condition	or
11	combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of a	any
12	other person and the community.	
13	VI.	
14	A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained prior to tr	ial.
15	B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be committed to the customer.	ody of
16	the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate	e, to
17	the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or b	eing
18	held in custody pending appeal.	
19	C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be afforded reasonable	
20	opportunity for private consultation with counsel.	
21	D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United S	tates
22	or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge	of the
23	corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver defendant to	o a
24	United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection	with a
25	court proceeding.	
26	DATED: July 26, 2011	
27	HONORABLE SHERI PYM United States Magistrate Judge	_
28	Omica states magistrate judge	